

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,414	09/17/2004	Yun-Ren Wang	NAUP0594USA	5413	
27765	7590 12/14/2007 DICA INITED I ECTLIAL	EXAMINER			
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			MILLER, MICHAEL G		
MERRIFIELD	, VA 22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com
Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com
mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/711,414	10/711,414		WANG ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Michael G. Mille	MGM	1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFERENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, how od will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tired SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2007.						
, 	•	nis action is non-fin	al.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allow			osecution as to th	ne merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice unde							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	•	ration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	//☑ Claim(s) <u>1 + and o o</u> tordre rejected. //☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election require	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•		•				
9)[7	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
, —	·		jected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified c	opies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summar					
· <u>=</u>	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1) Examiner notes that in the reply to the Office Action dated 29 Jun 2007, Applicant has incorporated the content of Claim 5 into Claim 1 and canceled Claim 5.

Response to Arguments

- 2) Applicant's arguments filed 28 Sep 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3) Applicant's argument states that in the '203 patent, the disposition of valves 28 and 29 prevents the evacuation of the piping between 29 and Chamber 1 once 29 is closed. This is moot, as Applicant does not call for complete evacuation of the supply line in the language of the claim; as such, since the piping from 22 to 29 is evacuated, and said piping is in the supply line, the supply line is evacuated insofar as the claim language requires.
- 4) Since claims 2-4 and 6-9 were rejected and not amended and since claim 1 as amended is not held as allowable, claims 2-4 and 6-9 remain as rejected.
- 5) Applicant claims that Claim 1 as amended solves a longstanding problem in the art.

 '203 acknowledges the problem of particle deposition from mingling system gases and uses purge and vacuum systems to remove gases from the system to prevent the deposition. Therefore, Applicant's claim merely seeks to use a known solution to solve a known problem.

Application/Control Number:

10/711,414 Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7) Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laxman et al (U.S. Patent 5,976,991, hereinafter '991) in view of Takahashi (U.S. Patent 5,517,943, hereinafter '943) and further in view of Kaizuka et al (U.S. Patent 6,436,203, hereinafter '203).
 - a) With regard to Claim 1, '991 teaches a method for depositing silicon nitride on a substrate, comprising:
 - providing a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) system comprising a tubular furnace (Column 7 Lines 29-37, 58-64), at least one BTBAS (bis t-ButylaminoSilane) supply piping line (Column 7 Lines 58-64) connected to a base portion of said tubular furnace, an exhaust piping line (implicitly taught by the presence of the vacuum pump, Column 7 Lines 43-45) connected to an upper portion of said tubular furnace, a bypass line connecting said BTBAS supply piping line with said exhaust piping line (not explicitly taught), and a vacuum pump (Column 7 Lines 43-45) connected to said exhaust piping line, wherein said bypass line is initially interrupted (not explicitly taught);

- ii) placing a batch of wafers (Column 7 Lines 47-49) into a tube of said tubular furnace (Column 7 Lines 29-30);
- iii) flowing nitrogen-containing gas into said tube (Column 8 Lines 57-60);
- iv) flowing BTBAS into said tube through said BTBAS supply piping line (Column 8 Lines 57-60) and said vacuum pump (Column 7 Lines 42-45) maintaining pressure in said tube in a range of between about 0.1 Torr and 3 Torr (Column 3 Lines 16-17 discuss a range of 0.02 760 Torr; Column 8 Lines 57-60 disclose a pressure point of 0.5 Torr);
- v) performing a silicon nitride deposition process in said tube to deposit a BTBAS-based silicon nitride film on said wafers (Column 8 Lines 60-63; for silicon nitride deposition NH₃ would be the preferred if not sole nitrogencontaining gas);
- vi) upon completion of said silicon nitride deposition process, interrupting said BTBAS supply piping line (see point 9 below) and opening said initially interrupted bypass line (not taught); and
- vii) removing said batch of wafers (see point 9 below).
- viii)'991 does not explicitly teach the vertical locations of the piping and exhaust lines, a bypass line, or that the bypass line starts out interrupted and is later opened.
- ix) It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to interrupt the BTBAS supply line at the end of

deposition, since terminating the flow of a reactant was a known way to terminate a reaction, and to remove the batch of wafers from the reactor at the end of processing, since it would have been desirable to use the reactor to coat multiple batches of wafers in its lifespan.

- b) '943 teaches an apparatus for chemical vapor deposition comprising:
 - i) a tubular furnace (Item 3, Figure 1),
 - ii) at least one BTBAS (bis t-ButylaminoSilane) supply piping line (Items 6-8, Figure 1; taught as generic reaction gas supply lines) connected to a base portion (via Items 4a and 4b connected from Items 15a and 15b, Figure 1) of said tubular furnace,
 - iii) an exhaust piping line (Item 16A, Figure 1) connected to an upper portion of said tubular furnace (via Items 4a and 4b going to Items 16a and 16b, Figure 1),
 - iv) a bypass line connecting said BTBAS supply piping line with said exhaust piping line (not explicitly taught),
 - v) and a vacuum pump connected to said exhaust piping line (Item 19, Figure 1),
 - vi) wherein said bypass line is initially interrupted (not explicitly taught).
 - vii) '943 also teaches a 'flip-flop' gas flow pattern (Column 4 Lines 27-33, Column 5 Lines 7-16) which is advantageous for aiding the uniformity of the deposited coating.

- viii)'203 teaches a bypass mechanism (Column 4 Line 64 Column 5 Line 65) comprising a valve (Item 26, Figure 2) for initiating and terminating the gas supply, a supply line (Item 21, Figure 2) for providing gas to the system, a switch valve (Item 28, Figure 2) for diverting flow between the chamber and the exhaust, a switch valve (Item 29, Figure 2) for controlling the flow of a purge gas, and an exhaust line (Item 36, Figure 2) to connect to the exhaust system (Item 9, Figure 2, not shown explicitly). '203 also teaches that this system is used to exhaust unwanted gas from the entire system (Column 7 Lines 22-29; there is no mention of valve 26 being closed so the system is evacuated all the way to the source). '203 also teaches that this bypass system is designed to prevent mingling of process gasses which may undergo unwanted side reactions (Column 8 Lines 48-63).
- ix) '203 also teaches that by opening said initially interrupted bypass line upon completion of said silicon nitride deposition process, said BTBAS remaining in said BTBAS supply piping line is evacuated through said bypass line without entering said tubular furnace, thereby eliminating particle problems (Column 8, Lines 48-63, taught with different gas but on identical principle).
- x) Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus as taught by modifying the apparatus of '943 to include a bypass mechanism connected to the exhaust system as taught in '203 because the bypass

mechanism prevents unwanted mixing of gases and prevents reaction of gases to form unwanted products.

- xi) Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have performed the method of '991 using the apparatus of '943 as modified by the bypass mechanism of '203 because '991 teaches a method of depositing a silicon nitride film, '943 teaches an apparatus capable of depositing a silicon nitride film, and the apparatus of '943 advantageously improves the uniformity of the deposited film and therefore the quality of the final product.
- c) With specific regard to Claim 2, which includes all the limitations of Claim 1 above, '943 teaches:
 - i) Wherein after removing said batch of wafers, the process further comprises flowing cleaning gas into said tube (Column 5 Line 33 Column 6 Line 38).
- d) With specific regard to Claim 3, which includes all the limitations of Claim 2 above, '943 teaches:
 - i) Wherein said cleaning gas comprises CIF₃ (Column 8 Lines 1-7).
- e) With specific regard to Claim 4, which includes all the limitations of Claim 2 above, '943 teaches:
 - i) Wherein said cleaning gas comprises NF₃ (Column 8 Lines 1-7).
- f) With specific regard to Claim 6, which includes all the limitations of Claim 1 above, '991 teaches:

Application/Control Number:

10/711,414 Art Unit: 1792

- i) Wherein said nitrogen-containing gas comprises ammonia gas (Column 8 Line 57 Column 9 Line 7).
- g) With specific regard to Claim 7, which includes all the limitations of Claim 1 above, '991 teaches:
 - i) Wherein silicon nitride deposition process is carried out at a temperature of between 450-600°C (Column 9 Lines 1-7 explicitly teaches 600°C).
- h) With specific regard to Claim 8, which includes all the limitations of Claim 1 above, '991 teaches:
 - i) Wherein said BTBAS is flowed into said tube at a flow rate of about 25-500 sccm (Column 8 Lines 60-63 explicitly teach 60 sccm of BTBAS).
- i) With specific regard to Claim 9, which includes all the limitations of Claim 1 above, '991 teaches:
 - i) wherein said nitrogen-containing gas is flowed into said tube at a flow rate of about 50-1000 sccm (Column 8 Lines 64-65 teach a flow of 200 sccm of nitrogen containing gases; as detailed in Figure 2, the composition of the mixture was allowed to vary).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 270-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on (571) 272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/711,414 Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MGM M6M

MICHAEL CLEVELAND SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER